

Table 105-04221

Self-rated health, by age group and sex, household population aged 12 and over, Canada, provinces, territories, health regions (June 2005 boundaries) and peer groups, every 2 years

Survey or program details:

Canadian Community Health Survey - 3226

Geography^{2,3}=Northwest Territories [61]

Age group	Sex	Self-rated health ¹⁰	Characteristics 11,12,13,14	2005
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Number of persons	34,664
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	21,873
		very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	63.1
		Exactlent colf rated health	Number of persons	7,267
		Excellent self-rated health	Percent	21.0
	Poth cover	Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	14,606
	Doill Sexes		Percent	42.1
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	8,949
		Good Sell-rated Health	Percent	25.8
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	3,842
		ran or poor sen-rated nearth	Percent	11.1
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
		Sen-rated health, not stated	Percent	F
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Number of persons	18,070
		Total population for the variable sell-rated health	Percent	100.0
		Vary good or excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	11,406
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	63.1
	Males	Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	3,67
		Excellent sell-rated fleatth	Percent	20.3
T . I		Vary good solf-rated health	Number of persons	7,735
Total, 12 years and over		Very good self-rated health	Percent	42.8
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	4,846
			Percent	26.8
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	1,817 ^E
			Percent	10.1 ^E
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
			Percent	F
	Females	Total population for the variable self-rated health	Number of persons	16,594
			Percent	100.0
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	10,467
			Percent	63.1
		Enable of a Konta discosts	Number of persons	3,596
		Excellent self-rated health	Percent	21.7
		Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	6,87
			Percent	41.4
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	4,102
				04-
		Good Sell Falca Health	Percent	24.7
			Percent Number of persons	-
		Fair or poor self-rated health		2,025
			Number of persons	24.7 2,025 12.2 F

1	1	L	Number of persons	5,716
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	3,960
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	69.3
		Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	1,010
			Percent	17.7
			Number of persons	2,950
	Both sexes	Very good self-rated health	Percent	51.6
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	1,455 ^E
			Percent	25.5 ^E
		Fair as we as salf safed books	Number of persons	301 ^E
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Percent	5.3 ^E
			Number of persons	F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Percent	- F
			Number of persons	2,987
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
				_
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	2,296
			Percent	76.9
		Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	510 ^E
		Excellent sell-rated fleatin	Percent	17.1 ^E
			Number of persons	1,787 ^E
12 to 19 years	Males	Very good self-rated health	Percent	
				59.8 ^E
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	F
			Percent	F
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	F
		Tan or poor sen rated nearth	Percent	F
		Salf rated health met atated	Number of persons	F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Percent	F
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Number of persons	2,730
			Percent	100.0
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	1,663
			Percent	60.9
				_
		Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	500 ^E
			Percent	18.3 ^E
	F	Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	1,163
	Females		Percent	42.6
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	800 ^E
			Percent	_
				29.3 ^E
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	F
		,	Percent	F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
			Percent	F
		Total namulation for the contable out of the second	Number of persons	10,944
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	7,571
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	69.2
			Number of persons	2,787
		Excellent self-rated health	Percent	
	Both sexes			25.5
		Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	4,784
			Percent	43.7
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	2,543
		Good Sell-Lated Health	Percent	23.2
		Pain an manual funtant has the	Number of persons	830 ^E
	1	Fair or poor self-rated health		

]			Percent	7.6 ^E
			Number of persons	F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Percent	F
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Number of persons	5,591
			Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	3,731
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	66.7
			Number of persons	1,472 ^E
		Excellent self-rated health	-	4
			Percent	26.3 ^E
	Males	Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	2,259
		rory good con rated from the	Percent	40.4
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	1,347 ^E
		Good Sell-rated Health	Percent	24.1 ^E
			Number of persons	F
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Percent	T F
20 to 34 years			Number of persons	T F
,		Self-rated health, not stated	Percent	F
			Number of persons	5,352
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	3,840
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	71.7
				_
		Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	1,315 ^E
			Percent	24.6 ^E
	Females	 Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	2,525
	remaies	very good self-rated fleattif	Percent	47.2
		Cood colf wated books	Number of persons	1,196
		Good self-rated health	Percent	22.3
			Number of persons	316 ^E
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Percent	5.9 ^E
				- 5.9 F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F
				-
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Number of persons	7,169
	Both sexes	Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	4,788
			Percent	66.8
		Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	1,872 ^E
			Percent	26.1 ^E
		Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	2,916 ^E
			Percent	40.7
			Number of persons	1,738
		Good self-rated health	Percent	24.2
				┥
35 to 44 years		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	643 ^E
,		·	Percent	9.0 ^E
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
			Percent	F
	Males	Total nanulation for the variable and retail beauty	Number of persons	3,710
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
		Vonces and an expellent self-net-th-self-	Number of persons	2,411
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	65.0
		Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	941 ^E
			Percent	25.4 ^E
				_
		Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	1,470 ^E
		. , 9	Percent	1

				39.6 ^E
			Number of persons	1,068 ^E
		Good self-rated health	Percent	28.8 ^E
			Number of persons	F
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Percent	F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
			Percent	F
1			Number of persons	3,459
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	2,377
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	68.7
			Number of persons	931 ^E
		Excellent self-rated health	Percent	26.9 ^E
			Number of persons	1,446
	Females	Very good self-rated health	Percent	41.8
			Number of persons	670 ^E
		Good self-rated health	Percent	19.4 ^E
				_
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	F
			Percent	F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F F
			Percent Number of persons	9,053
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	4,888
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	54.0
			Number of persons	-
	Both sexes	Excellent self-rated health		1,392 ^E
			Percent	15.4 ^E
		Very good self-rated health	Number of persons	3,496
		Good self-rated health	Percent	38.6
			Number of persons	2,787
			Percent	30.8
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	1,378 ^E
			Percent	15.2 ^E
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
			Percent	F
		Total population for the variable self-rated health Very good or excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	4,888
45 to 64 years			Percent	100.0
To to or yours			Number of persons	2,563
			Percent	52.4
		Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	704 ^E
			Percent	14.4 ^E
	Males	Vory good colf rated boolth	Number of persons	1,860 ^E
	iviales	Very good self-rated health	Percent	38.0 ^E
			Number of persons	1,565
		Good self-rated health	Percent	32.0
			Number of persons	760 ^E
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Percent	15.5 ^E
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
			Percent	· F
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Number of persons	4,164
			Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	2,325
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	55.8
j l		<u> </u>		

		Excellent self-rated health	Number of persons	688 ^E
			Percent	16.5 ^E
			Number of persons	1,637 ^E
		Very good self-rated health	Percent	39.3 ^E
				_
	Females	Good self-rated health	Number of persons	1,222 ^E
			Percent	29.3 ^E
		Fair or was realf restant baseleb	Number of persons	618 ^E
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Percent	14.8 ^E
		0 K	Number of persons	F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Percent	F
			Number of persons	1,782
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	667 ^E
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	37.4 ^E
			Number of persons	- 67.4 F
		Excellent self-rated health	Percent	F
			Number of persons	460 ^E
	Both sexes	Very good self-rated health	Percent	_
				25.8 ^E
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	426
			Percent	23.9
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	690 ^E
			Percent	38.7 ^E
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
		oen-rated health, not stated	Percent	F
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Number of persons	894
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
		Manager and an array Hand and made districtly	Number of persons	404 ^E
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	45.3 ^E
			Number of persons	F
		Excellent self-rated health	Percent	F
05			Number of persons	F
65 years and over	Males	Very good self-rated health	Percent	F
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	211 ^E
			Percent	23.7 ^E
			Number of persons	F
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Percent	_
			Number of persons	F F
		Self-rated health, not stated	Percent	F
			Number of persons	889
		Total population for the variable self-rated health	Percent	100.0
			Number of persons	- 100.0
		Very good or excellent self-rated health	Percent	F
			Number of persons	F
		Excellent self-rated health	Percent	F
				F
	Females	Very good self-rated health	Number of persons Percent	F
				_
		Good self-rated health	Number of persons	214 ^E
			Percent	24.1 ^E
		Fair or poor self-rated health	Number of persons	412 ^E
			Percent	46.4 ^E
		Self-rated health, not stated	Number of persons	F
			Percent	F

Symbol legend:

- E Use with caution
- F Too unreliable to be published

Footnotes:

- 1. Source: Statistics Canada, Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS 3.1), 2005. The CANSIM table 105-0422 is an update of CANSIM table 105-0222.
- 2. Health regions are defined by the provincial ministries of health. These are legislated administrative areas in all provinces. The health regions presented in this table are based on boundaries and names in effect as of June 2005. For complete Canadian coverage, each of the northern territories also represents a health region.
- **3.** A "peer group" is a grouping of health regions that have similar social and economic characteristics. The nine peer groups are identified by the letters A through I, which are appended to the health region 4-digit code.
- **10.** Population aged 12 and over who rate their own health status as being either excellent, very good, good, fair or poor. Self-rated health is an indicator of overall health status. It can reflect aspects of health not captured in other measures, such as incipient disease, disease severity, aspects of positive health status, physiological and psychological reserves and social and mental function.
- **11.** When comparing estimates, it is important to use confidence intervals to determine if differences between values are statistically significant. Confidence intervals describe sampling variability and give an indication of the precision of a given estimate.
- 12. Bootstrapping techniques were used to produce the coefficient of variation (CV) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).
- 13. Data with a coefficient of variation (CV) from 16.6% to 33.3% are identified as follows: (E) use with caution.
- **14.** Data with a coefficient of variation (CV) greater than 33.3% were suppressed due to extreme sampling variability and are identified as follows: (F) too unreliable to be published.

Source: Statistics Canada. *Table 105-0422 - Self-rated health, by age group and sex, household population aged 12 and over, Canada, provinces, territories, health regions (June 2005 boundaries) and peer groups, every 2 years, CANSIM (database).*

http://cansim2.statcan.ca/cgi-win/cnsmcgi.exe?

Lang=E& CANSIMFile=CII\CII_1_E.htm& RootDir=CII/

(accessed: October 8, 2008)

Printer-friendly format

Date modified: 2007-04-27